Who Pays for Your Rewards? Redistribution in the Credit Card Market

Sumit Agarwal¹, Andrea F. Presbitero², André F. Silva³, and Carlo Wix³

Payments, Lending, and Innovations in Consumer Finance – Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia October 26, 2022

The views expressed are solely those of the authors and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of the Federal Reserve Board, the International Monetary Fund, nor anyone else associated with these institutions

¹National University of Singapore ²International Monetary Fund ³Federal Reserve Board

Introduction

Motivation

• Individuals make costly mistakes when using financial products

In the case of credit cards:

- 1. Overindebtedness (Gross & Souleles 2002; Heidhues & Kőszegi 2010)
- 2. Suboptimal repayment (Ponce et al. 2017; Gathergood et al. 2019)
- Banks can design financial products to exploit these mistakes
 - Salient, front-loaded benefits combined with shrouded, back-loaded costs (DellaVigna & Malmendier 2004; Heidhues & Kőszegi 2017)
- \Rightarrow Redistribution from naïve to sophisticated consumers
 - Naïve consumers might underestimate the costs while sophisticated ones might rake in the benefits (Gabaix & Laibson 2006)

Research Questions

- Despite the *theoretical* predictions, examining redistribution from naïve to sophisticated consumers *empirically* is challenging:
 - Financial decision-making of individuals depends on hard-to-measure variables
 - It requires granular data on costs and benefits of financial product usage
- This paper \rightarrow credit card reward programs as an ideal laboratory
 - 1. **Consumer perspective:** Is there a redistribution across consumers in the credit card market induced by reward programs? Who benefits and who pays for it? What type of suboptimal behavior explains the effects?
 - 2. **Bank perspective:** Do banks design this financial product to incentivize adoption and usage? How much do they profit?
 - 3. **Spatial Disparities:** Do reward credit cards exacerbate income disparities?

Literature Contribution

Primer on Credit Card Reward Programs

- Reward programs offer benefits to cardholders per dollar spent on the credit card (cash back, miles, points)
- Credit cards and reward programs as a feature of credit cards prevalent in the US (and in other Anglo-Saxon countries e.g., UK, Canada, Australia)
 - As of 2019: 75% of US consumers use credit cards and reward cards account for 60% of new card originations
 - The largest US banks paid out **\$35bn in rewards** (entertainment market = \$36bn)
- From a consumer perspective:

$$\underbrace{\text{Net Rewards}_{i,t}}_{\text{Cardholder Profit/Loss}} = \underbrace{\text{Rewards}_{i,t}}_{\text{Potential Benefit}} - \underbrace{\text{Interest Paid}_{i,t} - \text{Total Fees}_{i,t}}_{\text{Potential Costs}}$$

 \Rightarrow These costs and benefits are likely not equally distributed across cardholders

Data

- Source: Federal Reserve Board's Y-14M data (banks >\$100bn assets)
 - Covers 70% of outstanding balances on consumer credit cards (CFPB 2019)
- Monthly account-level data on:
 - Accumulated rewards, interest and fee payments, spending and unpaid balances, credit limits, FICO scores, consumer income, zip code & more
- Cross-section of 238 million consumer cards as of March 2019

Descriptive Statistics

Consumer Perspective

Methodology

• Specification:

Net Reward_i =
$$\sum_{F} \left(\delta^{F} \times \text{Reward Card}_{i} \times D^{F} \right) + \alpha_{f,w,z,b} + \sum_{m} X_{i}^{m} + \sum_{n} X_{j}^{n} + \varepsilon_{i}$$

- Reward Card = 1 if card offers rewards; and 0 otherwise
- D^F = FICO bucket dummy variable (< 660 = Sub-prime; 660-720 = Near-prime; 720-780 = Prime; > 780 = Super-prime), as of March 2018
- $\alpha_{f,w,z,b} = FICO_{Q100} \times Income_{Q100} \times zip Code \times Bank FE$
- X_i^m = Card-level controls (credit limit, amount past due, card age, joint account indicator, fraud dummy)
- Xⁿ_j = Consumer-level controls (deposit relationship indicator, lending relationship dummy, total number of cards the consumer has with the bank, a workout program dummy, bankruptcy indicator)

Net Rewards Across the FICO Distribution



Regression Analysis: Net Rewards

Net Rewards_i =
$$\sum_{F} \left(\delta^{F} \times \text{Reward Card}_{i} \times D^{F} \right) + \alpha_{t,w,z,b} + \sum_{m} X_{i}^{m} + \sum_{n} X_{j}^{n} + \varepsilon_{i}$$

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Reward Card	4.66***	3.88***	3.48***	
Reward Card $ imes$ Sub-Prime	(0.30)	(0.37)	(0.38)	-5.37*** (0.67)
Reward Card $ imes$ Near-Prime				-6.80***
Reward Card $ imes$ Prime				(0.07) 7.28*** (0.44)
Reward Card $ imes$ Super-Prime				(0.94) 16.05*** (0.93)
Card Controls& Cardholder Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
FE: Bank $ imes$ Zip $ imes$ Income	Y	N	-	-
FE: Bank $ imes$ Zip $ imes$ FICO	Ν	Y	-	-
FE: Bank $ imes$ Zip $ imes$ Income $ imes$ FICO	Ν	N	Y	Y
Observations		237,5	573,278	

A. Presbitero

Regression Analysis: Net Rewards Across the FICO Distribution



Robustness: Net Rewards

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Reward Card	0.617***		1.94***		1.77***	
Reward Card $ imes$ Sub-Prime	(0.15)	-0.49***	(0.51)	-1.02***	(0.37)	-5.53***
Reward Card $ imes$ Near-Prime		-0.95***		-1.79***		-8.53***
Reward Card $ imes$ Prime		1.20***		2.89***		4.08***
Reward Card \times Super-Prime		2.62*** (0.34)		6.50*** (1.20)		14.09*** (1.03)
Card Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Cardholder Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	-
FE: Bank $ imes$ Cardholder	-	-	-	-	Y	Y
FE: Bank $ imes$ Zip $ imes$ Income $ imes$ FICO	-	-	Y	Y	-	-
FE: Bank $ imes$ Zip $ imes$ Income $ imes$ FICO $ imes$ Limit $ imes$ APR	Y	Y	-	-	-	-
Observations		12,38	1,801		65,5	13,743

Aggregate Net Rewards

- Cardholders with negative net rewards pay \$4.1bn for the use of reward cards and cardholders with positive net rewards earn \$1.3bn
- Annualized redistribution of \$15.1bn
 - Of the \$4.1bn paid by cardholders with negative net rewards, 1bn come from sub-prime, 1.6bn from near-prime, 1.1bn from prime, and only 0.4bn from super-prime cardholders
 - Of the \$1.3bn earned by cardholders with positive net rewards, only \$0.04bn go to sub-prime, \$0.13bn to near-prime, \$0.41bn to prime, and \$0.68bn to super-prime cardholders

Regression Analysis: Net Reward Components

$$\mathbf{Y}_{i} = \sum_{F} \left(\delta^{F} \times \operatorname{Reward} \operatorname{Card}_{i} \times D^{F} \right) + \alpha_{f, w, z, b} + \sum_{m} X_{i}^{m} + \sum_{n} X_{j}^{n} + \varepsilon_{i}$$

	Rew	vards	Interes	t Charges	Total Fe	ee Charges
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Reward Card	6.38*** (0.35)		2.20*** (0.18)		0.70*** (0.08)	
Reward Card $ imes$ Sub-Prime		1.79*** (0.14)		6.38*** (0.69)		0.78*** (0.10)
Reward Card $ imes$ Near-Prime		4.83***		10.86***		0.78***
Reward Card $ imes$ Prime		8.39***		0.34		0.77***
Reward Card \times Super-Prime		9.45*** (0.38)		-7.09*** (0.64)		0.50*** (0.06)
Card Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Cardholder Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
FE: Bank $ imes$ Zip $ imes$ Income $ imes$ FICO	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations			237,	573,278		

Interpretation

A Reverse Robin Hood Effect?

The ugly truth behind your fancy rewards credit card

America's poor foot much of the bill for credit card points, miles, and cash back. By Emily Stewart | Jun 3, 2021, 8:30am EDT

How Much Credit Card Rewards Cost the Poor

BY JENNIFER SARANOW SCHULTZ JULY 26, 2010 3:55 PM 73

In a Your Money column earlier this year, "<u>The Damage of Card</u> <u>Rewards</u>," my colleague Ron Lieber hypothesized that the poor are actually the ones subsidizing the credit card rewards of the affluent.

OP-ED

How credit card companies reward the rich and punish the rest of us

Aaron Klein - Monday, December 23, 2019

Net Rewards of Reward Cards by Income Group

Credit scores and income are not strongly correlated (Beer, Ionescu, and Li, 2018) (FICO Distribution



Net Rewards by Income Group

$$\mathbf{Y}_{i} = \sum_{F} \left(\delta^{F} \times \operatorname{Reward} \operatorname{Card}_{i} \times D^{F} \right) + \alpha_{f, w, z, b} + \sum_{m} X_{i}^{m} + \sum_{n} X_{j}^{n} + \varepsilon_{i}$$

	Lower Tercile of Income Distribution		Middle Tercile of Income Distribution		Upper Tercile of Income Distribution	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Reward Card	1.86*** (0.20)		2.73*** (0.28)		5.36*** (0.61)	
Reward Card $ imes$ Sub-Prime		-2.56*** (0.34)		-4.88*** (0.59)		-12.75*** (1.18)
Reward Card $ imes$ Near-Prime		-2.36*** (0.45)		-5.80*** (0.58)		-13.15***
Reward Card $ imes$ Prime		5.93*** (0.33)		6.29*** (0.37)		8.70*** (0.58)
Reward Card $ imes$ Super-Prime		9.71*** (0.60)		13.60*** (0.71)		20.10*** (1.03)
Card Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Cardholder Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
FE: Bank \times Zip \times Income \times FICO Observations	Y 75,1	Y 59,536	Y 79,5-	Y 40,729	Y 82,8	Y 373,013

Top Income Distribution

Credit Card Rewards and Financial Sophistication

FICO scores capture behaviors associated with lack of financial sophistication

 \Rightarrow FICO score as a measure of financial sophistication (Agarwal et al. 2015)

Empirical tests:

- 1. Over-indebtedness: Do reward cards induce ↑ spending by consumers, causing some of them to have ↑ unpaid balances, incurring ↑ interest and fees?
- 2. Suboptimal repayment: Are misallocated payments \uparrow for reward than classic credit cards among low-FICO consumers?

Over-indebtedness: DiD Analysis

$$\Delta \mathsf{Y}_{i(\pm 6m)} = \sum_{F} \left(\delta^{F} \times \operatorname{Reward} \operatorname{Card}_{i} \times D^{F} \right) + \alpha_{z,b} + \sum_{m} X_{i}^{m} + \sum_{n} X_{j}^{n} + \varepsilon_{i}$$

	Δ Spending		Δ Pa	Δ Payments		d Balances
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Reward Card	75.77***		31.96***		19.17**	
	(6.83)		(3.72)		(8.79)	
Reward Card $ imes$ Sub-Prime		59.75***		5.06		33.82***
		(6.43)		(3.12)		(11.24)
Reward Card $ imes$ Near-Prime		62.88***		4.53		25.25*
		(7.18)		(4.29)		(13.53)
Reward Card $ imes$ Prime		89.03***		73.19***		4.83
		(7.98)		(6.17)		(12.16)
Reward Card $ imes$ Super-Prime		164.85***		153.22***		-28.20
		(14.14)		(13.22)		(25.26)
Mean Y (All Cardholders)	1067.50		1098.16		2865.21	
Card Controls (Pre-Period)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Cardholder Controls (Pre-Period)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Income and FICO (Pre-Period)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Spending and Payments (Pre-Period)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
FE: Bank $ imes$ Zip	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations			1,23	6,604		

Sub-Optimal Repayment Behavior

Misallocated payments: Share of payments that were incorrectly made on a cheaper card that should have been made on more expensive card(s).



Regression Analysis: Share of Misallocated Payments

$MP \operatorname{Share}_i = \sum \left(\delta^{F} imes Reward \operatorname{Ca} ight)$	$rd_i imes D^{\mathcal{F}} + \alpha_j + \sum X_i^m + \varepsilon_i$
F	m

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Reward Card	1.24***		1.71*** (0.33)		1.74*** (0.37)	
Reward Card $ imes$ Sub-Prime	()	2.65*** (0.20)	()	3.74*** (0.25)	(,	4.18*** (0.30)
Reward Card $ imes$ Near-Prime		0.76***		1.15*** (0.34)		1.08***
Reward Card $ imes$ Prime		0.14 (0.37)		0.35		0.13 (0.42)
Reward Card $ imes$ Super-Prime		0.07 (0.41)		0.30 (0.44)		0.12 (0.47)
Restrictions on consumers:						
At least 2 cards with revolving debt	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Did not pay full balance on all cards	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Made minimum payment on all revolving cards & paid > than the minimum on at least 1	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y
Have different APRs on all revolving cards	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y
Card Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
FE: Cardholder $ imes$ Bank	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	21,28	38,917	16,13	86,165	12,85	58,916

Misallocated Payments and Heuristics

- Sub-prime and near-prime cardholders follow a **balance-matching heuristic** (i.e., paying cards according to their outstanding balance), as in Gathergood et al. (2019)
- Prime and super-prime cardholders' repayment behavior is more correlated with the optimal allocation rule



Bank Perspective

Rewards from the Bank Perspective

- Bank perspective
 - Do banks lure people into reward cards?
 - How do banks profit across the FICO distribution?
- Definition of bank profits:

Bank Profit/Loss_i = Interest Paid_i + Total Fees_i + Interchange Income_i

- Rewards_i - Realized Charge-Offs_i

- Interchange Income = (1.5% 2.5%) \times Purchase Volume
- Realized Charge-Offs = Charge-off if account is 180 days delinquent

Market Structure of Credit Card Payments

Pricing and Profits



(a) APRs

(b) Bank Profits

- $\Rightarrow\,$ Banks use aggressive pricing to lure consumers into reward cards
- $\Rightarrow\,$ Banks profits are highest in the middle of the FICO distribution

A. Presbitero

Regression Analysis: APRs and Bank Profits

$$\mathbf{Y}_{i} = \sum_{F} \left(\delta^{F} \times \text{Reward } \text{Card}_{i} \times D^{F} \right) + \alpha_{f, \mathbf{w}, z, b} + \sum_{m} X_{i}^{m} + \sum_{n} X_{j}^{n} + \varepsilon_{i}$$

	A	.PR	Ρ	rofit
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Deveed Cand	0.0/***		7 07***	
Reward Card	-0.98		(0.69)	
Reward Card $ imes$ Sub-Prime	()	-0.20**	()	4.06***
		(0.09)		(1.45)
Reward Card $ imes$ Near-Prime		-0.469***		15.28***
		(0.16)		(1.16)
Reward Card $ imes$ Prime		-1.34***		8.95***
Poward Card X Supar-Brima		(0.26)		(0.48)
Reward Card × Super-Prime		-1.85		(0.48)
		(0.27)		(0110)
Card Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
Cardholder Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
FE: Bank $ imes$ Zip $ imes$ Income $ imes$ FICO	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations		237,57	73,278	

Bank Revenue Shares Across the FICO Distribution



(c) Reward cards

(d) Classic cards

Spatial Disparities

Geography of Net Rewards



Geography of FICO Scores



Geography of Net Rewards

Net Reward_{*i*,*z*} =
$$\sum_{k} \beta^{k} X_{z}^{k} + \gamma \times \overline{CreditScore}_{z} + \varepsilon_{i,z}$$

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Education	0.29***	-0.01					
Income	(0.02)	(0.02)	0.21***	0.00			
Black Population Share			(0.02)	(0.02)	-0.14*** (0.01)	0.00 (0.01)	
Credit Score		0.19*** (0.01)		0.18*** (0.00)		0.19*** (0.00)	
Observations	237,573,278						

Conclusion

Conclusions

1. **Consumer perspective:** sophisticated individuals profit from reward cards, while naïve ones lose money

Results not driven by income, but by financial sophistication

- Over-indebtedness: reward cards induce ↑ spending, causing naïve consumers to have higher unpaid balances
- Suboptimal repayment: naïve consumers have higher misallocated payments on reward cards and follow a (costly) balance-matching heuristic
- 2. Bank perspective: through low APRs banks lure consumers into reward cards
 - Despite different revenue sources, banks profit across the entire FICO distribution
- 3. **Spatial Disparities:** reward cards transfer income from less to more educated, poorer to richer, and high- to low-majority areas

Appendix

Literature Contribution

- Cross-subsidy from naïve to sophisticated consumers in retail financial markets
 - Theoretical: DellaVigna and Malmendier (2004); Gabaix and Laibson (2006); Heidhues and Kőszegi (2010, 2017)
 - Empirical: Guiso, Pozzi, Tsoy, Gambacorta, and Mistrulli (2021); Fisher, Gavazza, Liu, Ramadorai, and Tripathy (2021)
 - Empirical evidence on cross-subsidization in mortgage markets.
 - Our paper: Evidence on redistribution in credit card markets.
- Credit card rewards
 - Hayashi (2009); Schuh, Shy, and Stavins (2010); Felt, Hayashi, Stavins, and Welte (2020); Levitin (2008); Sarin (2019)
 - Focus on redistribution from cash users to credit card users.
 - Our paper: Focus on redistribution within credit card users.



Computing Monthly Net Rewards (1/2)

• Definition of net rewards

Net Rewards_{*i*,*t*} = Rewards_{*i*,*t*} - Interest Paid_{*i*,*t*} - Total Fees_{*i*,*t*}

- Note: Variable Gross Rewards not contained in the dataset
- But: We do observe Cumulative Rewards each month

Cumulative Rewards_{*i*,*t*} = Cumulative Rewards_{*i*,*t*-1} + Rewards_{*i*,*t*} - Redemptions_{*i*,*t*}

 \Rightarrow Goal: Estimate *Rewards* from data

Computing Monthly Net Rewards (2/2)

1. Calculate effective reward rate of card *i*

Card-Specific Reward Rate_{*i*,*t*} = $\frac{\Delta \text{Cumulative Rewards}_{i,t}}{\text{Purchase Volume}_{i,t}}$

- Note: This reward rate is correct if Redemptions are zero
- 2. Cluster credit cards at the individual product level *k* by:
 - Bank \times Credit card type \times Product type \times Card network \times Reward type \times Fee type \times Fee level

Estimated Reward Rate_{*i*,*t*} = Q_{50}^{k} (Card-Specific Reward Rate_{*i*∈*k*,*t*})

3. Calculate *Rewards*

Rewards_{*i*,*t*} = Estimated Reward Rate_{*i*,*t*} × Purchase Volume_{*i*,*t*}

Descriptive Statistics Back

	Mean	All Cards Median	SD	Reward Cards Mean	Classic Cards Mean
	Pan	el A. Net Reward	l Variables		
Rewards (in \$)	4.69	0.00	20.42	9.30	0.00
Interest Charges (in \$)	14.38	0.00	37.91	18.34	10.36
Fee Charges (in \$)	2.64	0.00	11.01	3.33	1.93
Net Rewards (in \$)	-12.33	0.00	44.41	-12.37	-12.29
	F	Panel B. Other Va	ariables		
 APR (in %)	20.63	21.49	7.15	18.64	22.64
Bank Profits (in \$)	14.53	1.11	232.94	22.54	6.39
FICO Score	729.60	742.00	75.65	743.22	715.77
Borrower Income (in \$k)	88.44	60.00	1863.36	98.02	78.71
Credit Limit (in \$k)	7.37	5.00	7.90	10.42	4.28
Amount Past Due (in \$)	10.26	0.00	172.45	8.19	12.37
Age of Card (in years)	7.43	4.83	7.36	7.61	7.24
Joint Account (0/1)	0.02	0.00	0.15	0.03	0.02
Fraud Flag (0/1)	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00
Deposit Relationship With Same Bank (0/1)	0.19	0.00	0.39	0.28	0.10
Lending Relationship With Same Bank (0/1)	0.08	0.00	0.27	0.11	0.05
No. Cards With Same Bank (0/1)	2.11	2.00	1.25	1.89	2.34
Workout Program (0/1)	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.01
Bankruptcy Flag (0/1)	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00
Observations		237,573,278		119,730,353	117,842,925

Net Reward Components Across the FICO Distribution

Net Rewards_{*i*,*t*} = Rewards_{*i*,*t*} - Interest Paid_{*i*,*t*} - Total Fees_{*i*,*t*}



 \Rightarrow High-FICO cardholders earn more rewards but pay less interest and fee charges







A. Presbitero

Net Rewards by Top Income Distribution

Back

$Y_{i} = \sum_{F} \left(\delta^{F} \times \operatorname{Reward} \operatorname{Card}_{i} \times D^{F} \right) + \alpha_{f, w, z, b} + \sum_{m} X_{i}^{m} + \sum_{n} X_{j}^{n} + \varepsilon_{i}$									
	Top 1 Income D	0% of istribution	Top 5% of Income Distribution						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
Reward Card	6.96*** (0.86)		7.70*** (0.96)						
Reward Card $ imes$ Sub-Prime		-21.97*** (1.50)		-25.61*** (1.72)					
Reward Card \times Near-Prime		-18.35*** (1.00)		-19.43*** (1.15)					
Reward Card $ imes$ Prime		10.65***		11.77*** (0.86)					
Reward Card $ imes$ Super-Prime		22.33*** (1.14)		22.24*** (1.16)					
Card Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y					
Cardholder Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y					
FE: Bank × Zip × Income × FICO Observations	Y 26,600,689	Y 26,600,689	Y 14,754,880	Y 14,754,880					

A. Presbitero

Computing Misallocated Payments

- Sample of consumers with multiple cards at the same bank
- Rational decision rule:
 - 1. Make the minimum payment due on all cards
 - 2. Pay of the card with the highest APR in full
 - 3. Start paying off cheaper cards after
- We rank all cards of consumer *i* at bank *b* by their APR
- Calculation of misallocated payment (MP) share

$$\mathsf{MP} \ \mathsf{Share} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathsf{Actual} \ \mathsf{Payment} \ \mathsf{Amount}_{i,b} - \mathsf{Optimal} \ \mathsf{Payment} \ \mathsf{Amount}_{i,b}}{\mathsf{Total} \ \mathsf{Payment} \ \mathsf{Amount}_{i,b}} & \mathsf{if} \quad \mathsf{APA}_{i,b} > \mathsf{OPA}_{i,b} \\ 0 & \mathsf{if} \quad \mathsf{APA}_{i,b} \leq \mathsf{OPA}_{i,b} \end{cases}$$

• Interpretation: Share of payments that were incorrectly made on a cheaper card that should have been made on more expensive card(s).

Regression Analysis: Share of Misallocated Payments-Two Cards

MP Share $-\sum \left(\delta^F \times \text{Reward Card} \times D^F\right) + \alpha + \sum X^m + \varepsilon$

	$\sum_{F} (0) \times 10$		-)+	$\sum_{m} n_{1} + c_{1}$		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Reward Card	1.152*** (0.344)		1.640*** (0.406)		1.741*** (0.461)	
Reward Card $ imes$ Sub-Prime		2.964*** (0.278)		4.113*** (0.313)		4.599*** (0.375)
Reward Card $ imes$ Near-Prime		0.398 (0.288)		0.787** (0.344)		0.825** (0.389)
Reward Card \times Prime		-0.342 (0.380)		-0.118 (0.427)		-0.224 (0.471)
Reward Card $ imes$ Super-Prime		-0.179 (0.498)		0.103 (0.547)		0.004 (0.590)
Restrictions on consumers:						
At least two cards with revolving debt	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Did not pay full balance on all revolving cards	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Made minimum payment on all revolving cards & paid > than the minimum on at least 1	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y
Have different APRs on all revolving cards	Ν	N	N	N	Y	Y
Card Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
FE: Cardholders $ imes$ Bank	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	13,080,528	13,080,528	9,909,754	9,909,754	8,862,432	8,862,432

Market Structure of Credit Card Payments



Figure1 PAYMENT AND FEE FLOWS IN FOUR-PARTY SCHEME CARD NETWORKS

