Income, Liquidity, and the Consumption Response to the 2020 Economic Stimulus Payments

Scott R. Baker, R.A. Farrokhnia, Steffen Meyer, Michaela Pagel, and Constantine Yannelis

#### The COVID-19 outbreak has upended economies around the world

- The COVID-19 outbreak has upended economies around the world
  - We want to understand the full impact at both a household and national levels

- The COVID-19 outbreak has upended economies around the world
  - We want to understand the full impact at both a household and national levels
  - We are interested in how households' incomes are affected, how they went about rearranging spending, and what they did with their CARES Act stimulus checks

- The COVID-19 outbreak has upended economies around the world
  - We want to understand the full impact at both a household and national levels
  - We are interested in how households' incomes are affected, how they went about rearranging spending, and what they did with their CARES Act stimulus checks
  - In order to deal with a crisis, policy makers have to understand what is happening on the ground

- The COVID-19 outbreak has upended economies around the world
  - We want to understand the full impact at both a household and national levels
  - We are interested in how households' incomes are affected, how they went about rearranging spending, and what they did with their CARES Act stimulus checks
  - In order to deal with a crisis, policy makers have to understand what is happening on the ground
  - Are fiscal stimulus payments in the current environment as effective as in the past?

On January 31, the Trump administration declared a public health emergency and placed restrictions on entry for travelers from China

- On January 31, the Trump administration declared a public health emergency and placed restrictions on entry for travelers from China
- On March 11, Trump announced travel restrictions for most of Europe

- On January 31, the Trump administration declared a public health emergency and placed restrictions on entry for travelers from China
- On March 11, Trump announced travel restrictions for most of Europe
- Starting March 3 in Seattle and March 15 throughout the US, schools and businesses closed or reduced hours

- On January 31, the Trump administration declared a public health emergency and placed restrictions on entry for travelers from China
- On March 11, Trump announced travel restrictions for most of Europe
- Starting March 3 in Seattle and March 15 throughout the US, schools and businesses closed or reduced hours
- On March 27, the largest-ever economic stimulus package, the CARES Act, passed and on April 9, households started receiving direct stimulus payments

- On January 31, the Trump administration declared a public health emergency and placed restrictions on entry for travelers from China
- On March 11, Trump announced travel restrictions for most of Europe
- Starting March 3 in Seattle and March 15 throughout the US, schools and businesses closed or reduced hours
- On March 27, the largest-ever economic stimulus package, the CARES Act, passed and on April 9, households started receiving direct stimulus payments
- The CARES Act also expanded unemployment insurance for many workers

### Transaction-Level Bank Account Data

We use transaction-level data of linked bank accounts from a Non-profit Fintech Company, SaverLife, that works with individuals to increase their savings



#### Transaction-Level Bank Account Data

- We use transaction-level data of linked bank accounts from a Non-profit Fintech Company, SaverLife, that works with individuals to increase their savings
- The online service lets individuals link their main bank account and allows to set savings goals, sets up savings challenges, provides financial advice, and follows up frequently via email



### Transaction-Level Bank Account Data

- We use transaction-level data of linked bank accounts from a Non-profit Fintech Company, SaverLife, that works with individuals to increase their savings
- The online service lets individuals link their main bank account and allows to set savings goals, sets up savings challenges, provides financial advice, and follows up frequently via email
- We were able to run a survey between mid May and mid July 2020 and received around 1,011 unique responses



## Data Coverage

- From August 2016 to August 2020, we observe bank-account transactions for a sample of 90,844 users
- We observe demographic data such as gender, age, self-reported annual income, and zip code



### Two Advantages of Our Data in this Setting

- The Non-profit Fintech targets low-income individuals/households all over the US
- Our data can be updated very frequently (right now, we observe transactions as of August 23rd)

Average annual household income by 5-digit zip code in 1,000 USD





We observe all transactions and snapshots of balances in all accounts of a user's main bank

#### Data

- We observe all transactions and snapshots of balances in all accounts of a user's main bank
- For each transaction in the data, we observe the time stamp, the amount, and a category (such as Groceries and Supermarkets or Pharmacies), parent category (such as ATM or Payroll), and grandparent category (such as Shopping and Food)

#### Data

- We observe all transactions and snapshots of balances in all accounts of a user's main bank
- For each transaction in the data, we observe the time stamp, the amount, and a category (such as Groceries and Supermarkets or Pharmacies), parent category (such as ATM or Payroll), and grandparent category (such as Shopping and Food)
- We take the usual steps to clean the data of users for which we likely observe incomplete records (observing at least 5 transactions per month, credible spending, payroll or other recurring income)

### Data: Summary Statistics and Representativeness

				Percentiles				
	Mean	Stan dard Deviation	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%	
Age	37.53	11.04	25.00	30.00	35.00	44.00	52.00	
Male	0.21	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
Self-Reported Annual Income	29,798.03	32,774.12	450.00	6,000.00	20,000.00	42,500.00	65,000.00	
Number of Linked Accounts	2.38	2.41	1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	
Number of Monthly Transactions	70.36	64.42	10.00	26.00	59.00	98.00	141.00	
Monthly Payroll Income	2,080.57	3,893.35	4.62	40.00	1,000.00	2,648.92	5,155.05	
Stimulus Income	1789.03	765.81	1,200	1,200	1,700	1,700	3,400	
Monthly Food Spending	405.19	716.10	33.02	101.52	256.95	525.45	924.39	
Groceries	210.25	367.60	14.06	40.56	110.03	255.99	504.52	
Restaurants	235.92	540.13	20.53	54.31	135.07	285.37	520.47	
Pharmacies	54.07	180.21	5.14	11.66	26.97	59.21	114.62	
Shopping	865.29	114931.68	33.53	101.00	253.85	528.03	971.23	
Observations	25210141							
	Mean	s in the Co	nsumer	Expendit	ure Survey Data			
	Age	51.09 Monthly Food Spending		708.83				
	Male	0.47			Groceries	372.01		
	Annual Income	78,321.16			Restaurants	288.25		
	Monthly Payroll Income	5,129.75			Shopping	1,178.83		

We want a high speed, dynamic and timely diagnosis of how households' incomes was impacted and how they adjusted their spending, when they began to respond, and who responded the fastest and strongest

- We want a high speed, dynamic and timely diagnosis of how households' incomes was impacted and how they adjusted their spending, when they began to respond, and who responded the fastest and strongest
- We control for individual fixed effects and can include additional calendar controls

- We want a high speed, dynamic and timely diagnosis of how households' incomes was impacted and how they adjusted their spending, when they began to respond, and who responded the fastest and strongest
- We control for individual fixed effects and can include additional calendar controls
- We also look at income and spending relative to individuals' personal histories

- We want a high speed, dynamic and timely diagnosis of how households' incomes was impacted and how they adjusted their spending, when they began to respond, and who responded the fastest and strongest
- We control for individual fixed effects and can include additional calendar controls
- We also look at income and spending relative to individuals' personal histories
- ► We cluster standard errors at the individual level

We see decreases in the amount and likelihood of payroll and other recurring income as well as increases in government income



## Heterogeneity: Some Evidence for Differences by Gender and Education

	(1) Log Payr	(2) oll Income	(3) Ind Payr	(4) oli income	(5) Log Gov	(6) t∣ncome	(7) ∣nd Gov	(8) t Income
shelter × male pandemic × male	0.0250*** (0.00937)	0.0346***	0.0776** (0.0334)	0.0725***	-0.00591 (0.00699)	-0.00929*	-0.0371 (0.0465)	-0.109***
R <sup>2</sup>	0.410	(0.00746) 0.410	0.421	(0.0257) 0.421	0.312	(0.00557) 0.312	0.333	(0.0358) 0.333
shelter $ imes$ college	0.0360***		0.180***		0.0123		0.00213	
pandemic $ imes$ college	(0.0135)	0.0163 (0.0116)	(0.0512)	0.109*** (0.0421)	(0.0112)	0.0124 (0.00970)	(0.0747)	-0.0952 (0.0617)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.442	0.441	0.429	0.428	0.274	0.276	0.300	0.300
Week-by-Year FE Individual FE	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	$\checkmark$	√ √	√ √
Standard errors in parentheses								

\* p < 0.10, \*\* p < 0.05, \*\*\* p < 0.01

# Heterogeneity: No Evidence for Differences by Partisanship



#### But not very tightly estimated



#### Results: From Income to Spending and Saving

There was a spike in income and spending when many people received tax refunds in February, then a fall in income and spending and then an increase mostly driven by government transfer payments



### Results: Spending

All checking-account spending increased to stockpile needed home goods and also in anticipation of the inability to patronize retailers, then declined sharply, then increased for stimulus check recipients, no differences for sheltered versus non-sheltered states



Michaela Pagel - Columbia GSB, NBER, & CEPR

#### Results: Stimulus Check Receipt

 Starting April 9, 2020 individuals in the sample received the stimulus check payments



#### Results: Stimulus Check Receipt

- Starting April 9, 2020 individuals in the sample received the stimulus check payments
- Overall, about 60% of individuals in our sample received a stimulus check



#### Results: Spending and Stimulus Checks

Increases in spending are mostly driven by government transfers but the movement looks similar for recipients and non-recipients of stimulus checks



#### Results: Stimulus Check Receipt

Spending, especially on non-durables and less so on durables increased substantially in event study design in the few days after stimulus check receipt



# Results: Credit Card, Rent, and Mortgage Payments

Individuals appear to have delayed bill and rent payments and catch up with the funds from the stimulus checks



#### Results: Transfers to Savings Accounts

In BEA/NIPA data, there was a massive increase in the personal savings rate but we find some mixed evidence there



# Results: Spending Increases after Stimulus Payments

 Largest increases by individuals with low account balances in the beginning of April (less heterogeneity by income drops or levels)





### User Survey After Stimulus Payments

We were able to run a survey between mid May and mid July 2020 and received around 1,011 unique responses



### User Survey After Stimulus Payments

- We were able to run a survey between mid May and mid July 2020 and received around 1,011 unique responses
- We asked for stock market/unemployment/salary expectations as well as economic hardship, credit access, stimulus payment receipt, and what they will spend it on



### Survey Results: Crisis, Credit, and Partisanship





Michaela Pagel - Columbia GSB, NBER, & CEPR

# Survey Results: MPCs for Durables, Food, Payments, and Savings





# Survey Results: Interaction of Individual Responses With MPCs





# Survey Results: Interaction of Individual Responses With MPCs

	(1) Total p	(2) ayments	(3) Food	(4) Durables	(5) Total Spending
Post-Stimulus × Stimulus	-0.0304 (0.0218)	-0.00322 (0.00175)	0.0349 (0.0332)	0.0424* (0.0178)	0.471** (0.137)
Post-Stimulus × Past-bills-are-due	0.0219 (0.0288)				
Post-Stimulus × Plan-to-pay-bills		-0.0132 (0.0109)			
${\sf Post-Stimulus}\times{\sf Food}$			0.0442 (0.0480)		
$Post\operatorname{-Stimulus} imes Durables$				-0.0153 (0.0141)	
${\sf Post-Stimulus}\times{\sf Savings}$					-0.180**** (0.0448)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.029	0.029	0.050	0.017	0.083
Week-of-Year FE Individual FE	√ √	$\checkmark$	√ √	√ √	√ √

\* p < .1, \*\* p < .05, \*\*\* p < .01

# Survey Results: Interaction of Individual Responses With MPCs

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
		Tot	tal Spendi	ng	
Post-Stimulus × Stimulus	0.034	0.245**	0.232**	0.227	0.260**
	(0.102)	(0.0838)	(0.0899)	(0.133)	(0.0999)
Post-Stimulus $ imes$ Exp-Longer-Crisis	0.261***				
	(0.117)				
Post-Stimulus × Exp-Unemployment		-0.155***			
		(0.0299)			
Post Stimulus V Evo Lower Income			0 1 1 5		
			(0.0862)		
			. ,		
Post-Stimulus × Exp-Higher-Taxes				-0.0464	
				(0.130)	
${\sf Post-Stimulus}  \times  {\sf Exp-Government-Income-Cut}$					-0.165**
					(0.0631)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.162	0.162	0.162	0.162	0.162
Day-of-Year FE	V	~	V	V,	v ,
Individual FE	√	~	√	√	✓
Standard errors in parentheses					
* $p < .1$ , ** $p < .05$ , *** $p < .01$					

We consider a simple three-sector model (similar to Guerrieri et al., 2020) to illustrate why the fiscal stimulus payments are less effective in the current environment (relative to the payments in response to the 2001 and 2008 economic slowdowns)

- We consider a simple three-sector model (similar to Guerrieri et al., 2020) to illustrate why the fiscal stimulus payments are less effective in the current environment (relative to the payments in response to the 2001 and 2008 economic slowdowns)
  - Sector 1: Food big (supermarkets, Target, Walmart, ...), non-durable, depreciates immediately, necessary, not too labor intensive => partial shut down

- We consider a simple three-sector model (similar to Guerrieri et al., 2020) to illustrate why the fiscal stimulus payments are less effective in the current environment (relative to the payments in response to the 2001 and 2008 economic slowdowns)
  - Sector 1: Food big (supermarkets, Target, Walmart, ...), non-durable, depreciates immediately, necessary, not too labor intensive => partial shut down
  - Sector 2: Food small (restaurants)/services/hospitality), non-durable, depreciates immediately, (un)necessary, labor intensive => shut down

- We consider a simple three-sector model (similar to Guerrieri et al., 2020) to illustrate why the fiscal stimulus payments are less effective in the current environment (relative to the payments in response to the 2001 and 2008 economic slowdowns)
  - Sector 1: Food big (supermarkets, Target, Walmart, ...), non-durable, depreciates immediately, necessary, not too labor intensive => partial shut down
  - Sector 2: Food small (restaurants)/services/hospitality), non-durable, depreciates immediately, (un)necessary, labor intensive => shut down
  - Sector 3: Other sectors (admin, banking, tech, furniture, electronics, ...), durable, depreciates slowly, unnecessary p not shut down

► All sector *s* agents' preferences are represented by the utility function  $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_t^s)$  where  $U(c) = c^{1-\sigma}/(1-\sigma)$  and each agent is endowed with  $\bar{n}_t^s > 0$  units of labor which are supplied inelastically

- All sector s agents' preferences are represented by the utility function Σ<sub>t=0</sub><sup>∞</sup> β<sup>t</sup>U(c<sub>t</sub><sup>s</sup>) where U(c) = c<sup>1-σ</sup>/(1-σ) and each agent is endowed with n<sub>t</sub><sup>s</sup> > 0 units of labor which are supplied inelastically
- Competitive firms in each sector *s* produce the final good from labor and goods market clear  $c_t^{1s} + c_t^{2s} + c_t^{3s} = \bar{n}_t^s$

- ► All sector *s* agents' preferences are represented by the utility function  $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_t^s)$  where  $U(c) = c^{1-\sigma}/(1-\sigma)$  and each agent is endowed with  $\bar{n}_t^s > 0$  units of labor which are supplied inelastically
- Competitive firms in each sector *s* produce the final good from labor and goods market clear  $c_t^{1s} + c_t^{2s} + c_t^{3s} = \bar{n}_t^s$
- Agent's budget constraint is  $c_t^s + a_t^s \le w_t^s \bar{n}_t^s + (1 + r_{t-1})a_{t-1}^s$  and we assume that agents in sectors 1 and 2 borrow from agents in sector 3

- All sector s agents' preferences are represented by the utility function  $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_t^s)$  where  $U(c) = c^{1-\sigma}/(1-\sigma)$  and each agent is endowed with  $\bar{n}_t^s > 0$  units of labor which are supplied inelastically
- Competitive firms in each sector *s* produce the final good from labor and goods market clear  $c_t^{1s} + c_t^{2s} + c_t^{3s} = \bar{n}_t^s$
- Agent's budget constraint is  $c_t^s + a_t^s \le w_t^s \bar{n}_t^s + (1 + r_{t-1})a_{t-1}^s$  and we assume that agents in sectors 1 and 2 borrow from agents in sector 3
- Frictionless economy, agents satisfy their Euler equations  $U'(c_t^s) = \beta(1+r_t)U'(c_{t+1}^s)$

#### Proposition

The MPC out of income (or fiscal stimulus payments) is larger for agents in sector 2 than for agents in sectors 1 or 3.

#### Proposition

The MPC out of income (or fiscal stimulus payments) is larger for agents in sector 2 than for agents in sectors 1 or 3.

#### Proposition

The marginal propensity to repay debt out of income (or fiscal stimulus payments) is larger for agents in sector 2 than for agents in sector 1.

#### Proposition

The MPC out of income (or fiscal stimulus payments) is larger for agents in sector 2 than for agents in sectors 1 or 3.

#### Proposition

The marginal propensity to repay debt out of income (or fiscal stimulus payments) is larger for agents in sector 2 than for agents in sector 1.

#### Corollary

The marginal propensity to consume in sector 1 out of income (or fiscal stimulus payments) is larger for agents in sector 2 than for agents in sectors 1 and 3.

Compare this economy to one in which sector 2 would not shut down (2001/8 economic slowdowns):

- Compare this economy to one in which sector 2 would not shut down (2001/8 economic slowdowns):
  - ► Agents in all sectors cannot consume in sector 2 ⇒ no stimulus payments flow into sector 2 increasing employment and wages in that sector

- Compare this economy to one in which sector 2 would not shut down (2001/8 economic slowdowns):
  - ► Agents in all sectors cannot consume in sector 2 ⇒ no stimulus payments flow into sector 2 increasing employment and wages in that sector
    - But sector 2 agents are the poorest agents with the highest MPC out of their income

- Compare this economy to one in which sector 2 would not shut down (2001/8 economic slowdowns):
  - ► Agents in all sectors cannot consume in sector 2 ⇒ no stimulus payments flow into sector 2 increasing employment and wages in that sector
    - But sector 2 agents are the poorest agents with the highest MPC out of their income
  - Agents in sector 2 choose to accumulate more debt in period 2 planning to repay it with their stimulus payment

- Compare this economy to one in which sector 2 would not shut down (2001/8 economic slowdowns):
  - ► Agents in all sectors cannot consume in sector 2 ⇒ no stimulus payments flow into sector 2 increasing employment and wages in that sector
    - But sector 2 agents are the poorest agents with the highest MPC out of their income
  - Agents in sector 2 choose to accumulate more debt in period 2 planning to repay it with their stimulus payment
    - The stimulus payment goes to agents in sector 3 that have a less high marginal propensity to consume out of their income

#### Conclusion

\* In order to deal with a crisis, policy makers have to understand what is happening on the ground so we have lots of work to do!

### Conclusion

- \* In order to deal with a crisis, policy makers have to understand what is happening on the ground so we have lots of work to do!
- \* What are households doing with their stimulus checks?
  - Less of a multiplier effect because stimulus checks do not generate income in shut down sectors?
  - Less stimulus effects if leftover bills/rents are paid?
  - Heterogeneity by survey responses?

### Conclusion

- \* In order to deal with a crisis, policy makers have to understand what is happening on the ground so we have lots of work to do!
- \* What are households doing with their stimulus checks?
  - Less of a multiplier effect because stimulus checks do not generate income in shut down sectors?
  - Less stimulus effects if leftover bills/rents are paid?
  - Heterogeneity by survey responses?
- \* We thank the CBS Fintech Initiative for providing access to data we used in this research project